

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR SPATIALLY ENHANCING THE STEREO
IMAGE IN SOUND REPRODUCTION AND REINFORCEMENT SYSTEMS

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Field of the Invention

5 The present invention relates generally to systems and methods for enhancing the performance of sound reproduction and reinforcement systems and more particularly for enhancing the performance of these systems over broad listener areas.

Description of the Related Art

10 Since the advent of sound recording near the end of the nineteenth century, an effective methodology has been sought to make the reproduction of sound, especially music, approach as closely as possible the sound field created by the original live source. Challenges remain including increasing the audience listening area in which sound is faithfully reproduced. Sound reproduction system 15 engineering implementations have focused on "electrostatic emitters" which are extremely directional in their radiation response and offer only a single listening location and electrodynamic emitters which have been designed for the uniform distribution of sound energy over a wide area.

20 Despite occasional announcements that the ultimate perfection has been reached and despite the increased number of separate emitters being utilized to create a theater or high-end home sound reproduction system, fidelity problems remain. Relatively accurate reproduction tends to exist. Unfortunately, it is restricted to central on axis listening positions, which are locations that are equidistant from primary emitters. For other listening positions spatial anomalies 25 distort the associated sound field. With conventional approaches, for listening locations that are off the central axis of the emitters the "stereo image" degenerates. Consequently, for a group of original sound sources, such as instruments being played together, in a live performance the spatial orientation of the reproduced sound sources would not be the same as the spatial orientation of 30 the live performance. Instead, the spatial orientation of the reproduction is compressed, expanded or otherwise changes relative to the spatial orientation of

the original performance based upon listening position. In live performance sound reinforcement installations where emitters are used to reinforce the sound produced by instruments being played and singers' voices, performance frequently declines to the point where the emitters being used become the only 5 acoustic image realized.

Since sound obeys an inverse square law relative to distance, as the distance between emitters and listener increases, the sound intensity decreases. As a result, the sound images that are created by two or more emitters at any listening position not equidistant from both emitters will have a geometry distortion 10 introduced. In these off-axis listener positions, the instruments become crowded together and the instrument closest to the off axis listener becomes louder and the "stereo image" of central instruments rapidly degenerates. The incorporation of a third "center channel" has been tried, but this can add significantly to the system's complexity, and offers limited results.

15 A number of other devices have been proposed to increase the area in which the audience will experience faithful sound reproduction. Some have proposed the use of frequency sensitive elements to selectively phase shift and redirect the electrical energy to various emitter means. The proposed devices tend to add complexity and degrade the final reproduced sound. Others have proposed 20 devices that attempt to stimulate the listening room utilizing radiation from the emitter without regard to room dependant anomalies such as wave cancellations that occur from reflective walls to produce an improvement.

Further, the industry has expended a great deal of effort measuring the effect of interaural time difference (ITD). This involves the time difference 25 created by the physical path length difference created by the human head and the time differences created by the path lengths from sound source to listener. Various methods have been proposed to compensate for geometric anomalies. In modern recording studios it is common practice to use many microphones and recorder channels to capture a performance. Results can be disappointing since 30 time and phase information that relate one instrument to another can be lost prior to recording distribution and is usually unavailable to sound reinforcement systems. As a result a less than ideal situation occurs in which differences in loudness of emitters are relied upon as the cues for spatial location to recreate

sound image files. The challenge of increasing the audience listening area in which sound is faithfully reproduced remains by in large elusive.

BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Aspects of the invention include a system for an audience area, the
5 audience area having a near field compensation border, the audience area being adjacent a second area along the near field compensation border, the second area having a first reference location. The system includes a plurality of sound emitters configured to be placed in a first configuration in the second area.
Furthermore, aspects include an emitter energy apportioner configured to be
10 coupled to the plurality of sound emitters to send signals to the sound emitters having energy distributed amongst the signals such that the sound emitters being in the first configuration in the second area collectively emit a first sound pattern, the first sound pattern configured to be received having a first sound energy amplitude for at least a first sound frequency by a sound receiver at a first location
15 in the audience area a first distance from the near field compensation border and a second distance from the first reference location with the sound receiver facing the first reference location in the second area, the first sound pattern configured to be received having a second sound energy amplitude for at least the first sound frequency by the sound receiver at a second location in the audience area a third
20 distance from the near field compensation border and a fourth distance from the first reference location with the sound receiver facing the first reference location in the second area, the first distance approximating the third second distance, the second distance being different from the fourth distance, and the first sound energy amplitude approximating the second sound energy amplitude.

Further aspects include a system for an audience area, the audience area having a near field compensation border, the audience area containing a sound receiver having a left channel sound receiver and a right channel sound receiver, the audience area being adjacent a second area along the near field compensation border, the second area having a first reference location. The system includes a plurality of sound emitters configured to be placed in a first configuration in the second area. Further aspects include an emitter energy apportioner configured to be coupled to the plurality of sound emitters to send signals to the sound emitters having energy distributed amongst the signals such

that the sound emitters being in the first configuration in the second area collectively emit a first sound pattern, the first sound pattern configured to be received having a first audible sound information content with a first left channel frequency-amplitude distribution to be received by the left channel receiver of the

5 sound receiver and a first right channel frequency-amplitude distribution to be received by the right channel receiver of the sound receiver at a first location in the audience area a first distance from the near field compensation border and a second distance from the first reference location with the sound receiver facing the first reference location in the second area, the first sound pattern configured to

10 be received having a second audible sound information content with a second left channel frequency-amplitude distribution to be received by the left channel receiver of the sound receiver and a second right channel frequency-amplitude distribution to be received by the right channel receiver of the sound receiver by the sound receiver at a second location in the audience area a third distance from the near field compensation border and a fourth distance from the first reference

15 location with the sound receiver facing the first reference location in the second area, the first distance approximating the third distance, the second distance being different from the fourth distance, and the differences between the first left. Other features and advantages will become apparent from the accompanying

20 detailed description and drawings.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S)

Figure 1 is schematic of a sound image of a sound image system and associated audience zones.

Figure 2 is a schematic of an emitter driving system of Figure 1.

25 Figure 3 is a schematic showing the generalized presentation of how emitter elements relate to the listener.

Figure 4 is a flow chart of a calibration method for the sound image system of Figure 1.

30 Figure 5 is an exemplary schematic nonuniform sound amplitude emitted by the sound image system of Figure 1. It illustrates the nonuniform sound amplitude emitted by each of two spaced-apart emitters with the sound amplitude as a function of angle represented by the distance of the arcs from the respective emitters.

Figure 6 is an exemplary schematic of the geometric relationship of two spaced-apart first implementations of the emitted assemblies and the listener audience relationships.

Figure 7A is a side elevational schematic of a first implementation of
5 the emitter assembly of the sound image system.

Figure 7B is a front elevational schematic of a first implementation of the emitter assembly of the sound image system.

Figure 8 is a schematic of a first electrical implementation of the first electrical implementation of the emitter assembly shown in Figures 7A and 7B.

10 Figure 9 is a schematic of the emitter driving assembly shown in Figures 7A and 7B utilizing resistive elements.

15 Figure 10 is a front view of an implementation of the omnidirectional emitter array of the sound image system for small venues showing a plurality of emitters forming an array and with the high and mid frequency emitters divided into three bands

20 Figure 11 is an illustration of the relationship of an omnidirectional emitter array to the audience area and how the acoustic energy is apportioned. It illustrates the nonuniform sound amplitude emitted by each of two spaced-apart emitters with the sound amplitude as a function of angle represented by the distance of the arcs from the respective emitters.

Figure 12 is an electrical schematic appropriate to the emitter array of Figure 9.

Figure 13A is a side elevational schematic of a second implementation of the emitter array of Figure 1.

25 Figure 13B is a front elevational schematic of the second implementation of the emitter array of Figure 13A.

Figure 14 is a schematic of a first electrical implementation of the emitter array shown in Figures 13A and 13B.

30 Figure 15 is a section view of a horn radiator producing a non uniform radiation.

Figure 16 is an exemplary schematic nonuniform sound amplitude emitted by the sound image system utilizing a uniform emitter in cooperation with a nonuniform emitter.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

It is desirable to improve the stereo image integrity at sound receiver, listener, locations not equidistant from the emitters representing stereo components without diminishing stereo performance at locations that are

5 equidistant from the emitters. It is also desirable to improve the listener enjoyment when sound reinforcement is used in live performance. Stereo image distortion frequently occurs in sound reproduction resulting from path length differences at various listening locations. The following embodiments reveal methods and implementations to achieve these goals. The embodiments correct for these

10 losses by providing a method of locating the various listener locations by associating listener location with a unique angle of radiation from the emitter and then providing means to alter the emitter performance as a function of angle to provide a corrected amplitude at the listener. This may be achieved in a sound reproduction system provided signals representing industry standard stereo

15 components.

Sound reproduction and reinforcement systems and methods are presented herein for spatially enhancing stereo images typically used such performances as those involving music. The embodiments seek to expand the amount of audience area that would receive a sound image that maintains sound

20 reproduction fidelity regarding spatial orientation of sound sources involved in an original performance. To expand the audience area receiving spatially faithful sound reproduction certain characteristics of sound have been first studied to find important factors involved.

Frequency, phase and amplitude can all play a part in the ability to

25 accurately determine the location of a sound source. It is generally accepted that wave frequency defines tonality and must be faithfully reproduced. It is also recognized that when all sound energy comes from a single emitter, the listener will be able to accurately locate the sound source regardless of listener position. Here the path length difference to each ear of the listener and thus the loudness

30 sensed by each ear is dependant upon the orientation of the listener's head to the sound source.

In another case of multiple emitters where each emitter reproduces sound representing a different sound source relatively positioned at the location of the emitter, the reproduction is still faithful regardless of listener position. It is

when one attempts to create the illusion of a sound source that is relatively positioned in a location other than a location of an emitter that image problems generally occur. An ideal case for conventional approaches is when listeners are equidistant from two or more emitters so that they receive correct amplitude

5 information in the well known stereo effect. For conventional approaches, it is when a listener is not equidistant from the emitters and therefore have path length differences, that sound level anomalies occur.

Implementations of the sound image system uses emitter assemblies each having a collection of emitters arranged to produce a non-uniform sound amplitude radiation pattern which are collectively used together to reduce these anomalies. Other implementations use a mixture of emitter assemblies with at least one having a non-uniform radiation pattern. The non-uniform patterns of the emitter assemblies of the sound image system are fashioned so that for any location in an audience listening area, each emitter

10 assembly located in a second area furnishes sound amplitudes at the location that are approximately equal to the sound amplitudes furnished by the other emitter assemblies of the sound image system for the location. To accomplish this requires a nonuniform amplitude distribution pattern from at least one of the emitters assemblies based upon the relative distances of the listener from the

15

20 emitters.

In the implementation shown in Figure 1 these anomalies are compensated using emitter arrays, EA's 16-20 in cooperation with an Emitter Driving System, EDS 12 . Optimally this should be done while maintaining a substantially uniform frequency response, at least over the range of frequencies in

25 which the listener is reliant for location information.

In this system the EDS 12, shown in Figure 2, includes a sound source 30, such as a compact disk player providing an electrical signals representative of a stereo audio signal. A multi channel amplifier 32 to provide the requisite energy to power the emitter arrays 16-20 and an Emitter Energy Apportioner, EEA 34. The EEA 34 may be a part of the amplifier, a functionality of the emitter array ,EA, or as an element located anywhere within the EA or EDS 12, to distribute the energy within the EA's 16-20.

The EA's 16-20 are placed in a second area before an audience area 22, as shown in Figure 1. EA "A" 16 is depicted as the left most array. EA "B"

20 is depicted as the right most EA with dimension r 70 being the distance between. EA's which may be located between are designated item 18. The central axis 28 is defined as all points equidistant from the left and right EA's and bisecting the audience area forming an imaginary axis line. The central axis 28 is
5 described as imaginary in the sense that it is not typically visible but is used herein for descriptive purposes such as to describe placement of the EA's and other such arrangements. Since the sound anomalies are dependant upon the EA to listener distance the audience area 22 may be further divided into zones 1-n 26. Zone 1 is designated as the near field zone and is bounded by the near field compensation border 23. This represents the boundary at which maximum correction is defined.
10 At the other extreme are the most distant listener locations and are referred to as the far field zone N 26. This zone is limited by the far field compensation border 24 and is the distance limit from the EA's at which the anomalies are substantially compensated. Between these two zones are located any further compensation
15 zones 26.

The anomalies in each audience area are compensated by causing the EA to direct more or less acoustic energy to that location. As the listener position moves further from the central axis 28, the compensation will increase. As the off axis listener position varies from the near field boundary 22 to the far field
20 boundary 24, the compensation will decrease.

Figure 3 is a general presentation of how the emitter array elements can be related to multiple zones and listener positions within a zone. The listener area 22 shown in figure 1 may be divided into a number of zones depending upon the size of the listening area. As depicted in Figure 1, zone 1 is the listener area
25 closest to the emitter arrays and the emitters providing primary sound energy within this band are designated B1 Z1 - Bn Z1. Bands 1-N provide the varying sound energy as determined by the EEA 34 for off axis listener positions within the zone. Emitter groups designated Zone 2- N similarly provide primary sound energy to additional audience Zones 46

30 An implementation, as shown in Figure 4, includes the following steps. Install at least two emitter arrays, left and right 62. To provide more than one compensation zone these will be located above or below the audience plane. Supply a stage reference input to the EDS 64. This input should simulate a sound source located between the emitter arrays. Select a zone and symmetrically

adjust the drive energy to the band emitters via the EEA 34 for an off axis location 66. If this is done ratio metrically, all locations within the zone will be corrected. Repeat the process for each set of emitters serving each zone. By making the EEA ratio metric, that is, what ever energy reduction is made toward one side of 5 the central axis, a proportional increase will be made to the other side of the central axis 28. Additionally the left EA "A" 16 will be the complementary mirror image of the right EA "B" 20 as shown in Figure 5. When these relationships are followed system adjustment may be reduced to only one adjustment per zone.

The most sensitive indicator of correct compensation is when a 10 sound source e.g. a solo instrument or singer, is equidistant between the EA's and the selected audience location is near the left or right extreme. At this location a listener may direct the system adjustment so that the soloist image appears equidistant between the EA's. Alternatively acoustic measurements may be made of each EA to ensure equal sound levels at the selected listener position.

15 The polar graph in Figure 5 represents such resultant nonuniform radiation patterns 74 from a pair of EA's. These patterns are tailored to alter the radiated sound energy as a function of direction in a fashion opposite to that introduced by the geometry distortion and are shown as matching in amplitude but complementary in direction for the two EA's, "A" 16 and "B" 20. Figure 5
20 graphically shows the non uniform distribution from the implementation shown in Figure 1.

The necessary correction in emitter amplitude from two EA's, "A" 16 and "B" 20 are evaluated as follows. We let A 16 and B 20 represent locations of EA's that are electrically driven as a stereo pair and C 76 represent an arbitrary 25 listening position, as shown in Figure 5. Further, we let r 70 represent the distance between A and B, s 80 the distance between B and C, t 78 the distance between A and C, and q 86 the angle between lines AB and BC. Then,

$$t^2 = r^2 + s^2 - 2rs \cos q$$

Given that sound amplitude decreases with the square of the 30 distance from an emitter, then at C the sound from EA "A" 16 decreases by $1/s^2$ and the sound from EA "B" 20 decreases by $1/t^2$. To accurately recreate sound images along line r, the EA at "A" must have an amplitude different from that of the AE at "B" by a factor, f, of (t^2/s^2) , or

$$f = (r/s)^2 + 2(r/s) \cos q.$$

The sound amplitude radiated by each EA (Ac) 82,84, in acoustic units (db), as a function of angle will be: $Ac = 10 \log ((r/s)^2 + 2(r/s) \cos q.)$ The method described herein provides the necessary sound dispersion corrections
5 without compromising the fidelity of the reproduction devices utilized in its implementation.

To provide proper amplitude corrections over a large audience area, EA's "A" and "B" are displaced from the audience plane, as defined as the locus of all listener positions within the audience area 22, as shown in Figure 6, so that, as
10 the listener distance varies, so will the emitter angle to the listener, angle "D" 88 and correspondingly the loudness correction. It will be recognized that as the audience depth , C1 to Cn, increases so will angle "D" 88 and the required variation in correction will also change. In small venues such as a home, EA's "A"
15 and "B" 20 may be co located in the listener plane while still offering amplitude corrections as the listener position varies left and right of the central axis.

The front view of a typical implementation is shown in Figure 7b and the side view is shown in Figure 7a. To create the desired non uniform radiation pattern from this array, some emitters 42a must radiate more energy than others 42b. In this implementation the emitters 42 are divided horizontally to serve three "zones" 26 . One set of emitters are vertically oriented toward the far field zone , "zone N", . A second set "1" is vertically oriented toward the closest listener area, Zone 1, the near field zone. The remaining emitters comprise a third set that is oriented toward an intermediate listener area as shown in Figure 6. These sets are orthogonally subdivided into three bands. Band "A" represents all emitters 42a
20 oriented toward the listener area requiring increased radiation and band "B" 42b represents all the emitters oriented toward the listener area requiring decreased radiation. Band "C" 42c represents all the emitters that require no alteration of their radiation. The EEA in this implementation as depicted in Figure 8 provides the means for adjusting the distribution of drive energy between Bands A 42a & B
25 42b and therefor the desired non uniform radiation is shown in Figure 5. The EEA 34 using electrical elements to apportion the electrical energy is preferable to use of dissimilar emitters since it allows adjustment for listening environment and allows all emitters 42 to be identical. In this implementation a frequency selective apportioner 92 diverts the low frequency energy to low frequency emitter 50 and
30 10

also diverts the mid and high frequency energy to the EEA's 34 and thence to the EA emitters 42 that form Zone 1. This energy is similarly diverted to each of the other EEA's the serve Zones 2 -N. The EEA for each zone may be used to adjust the compensation as a function of horizontal angle 2 86 to compensate anomalies at varying listener positions within the zone 26.

One implementation of an EEA contains a resistive network used to apportion energy from one set of emitters to an other set of emitters. A simple implementation of such a network incorporated as an EEA is designated as item 34 in Figure 9. In this implementation a variable resistive element 94 placed in shunt with an emitter set to be diminished in amplitude 42a causes less energy to be received at the shunted emitters. As the variable resistive element 94 is reduced in resistance the other series emitters 42b will receive more energy. Thus by varying the value of the variable resistive element 94 the energy apportionment may be varied. An additional resistive element 96 may be placed in series with the variable resistive element 94 to limit the extent to which the energy may be unequal

An implementation for small listening venues, illustrated in Figure 10, incorporates a plurality of emitters to form an array that can approximate the response of an acoustical four pi steradian point source. Such an array lends itself to an implementation as it radiates in all directions with a uniform frequency response and through the incorporation of an adjustable EEA can produce the nonuniform radiation patterns as shown in Figure 11. In this implementation vertically facing low frequency emitter 50 in an appropriate enclosure 52 to control the out of phase emissions operates in cooperation with an ellipsoidal reflector 110 to reproduce the low frequency portion of the spectrum with omni directional radiation. The mid and high frequencies are reproduced by a plurality of emitters 42 situated on that ellipsoid to form an array. If all emitters receive equal drive energy, the sound energy will be equal in all directions as depicted as a circle 72 in Figure 11. To create the desired non uniform radiation pattern 74 from this array, some emitters must receive more energy than others. In this implementation the emitters 42 have been divided into three "bands". Band "C" 42c is vertically oriented orthogonally to the inter array axis (A-B). Band "A" represents the emitters 42a oriented toward the listener area requiring increased radiation and band "B" 42b represents the emitters oriented toward the listener

area requiring decreased radiation. In this implementation the EEA for adjusting the distribution of drive energy and therefor the desired non uniform radiation may be similar to the one shown in Figure 12. Using electrical elements to redistribute the electrical energy is preferable to use of dissimilar emitters since it allows

5 adjustment for listening environment and allows all emitters 42 to be identical. . In this arrangement a frequency selective apportioner 92 diverts the low frequency energy to low frequency emitter 50 and also diverts the mid and high frequency energy to the EEA 34 and thence to the emitters. The emitters in the array are divided into three bands. The central emitter band "C" 42c, which are not

10 amplitude altered and two variable bands of emitters "A" 42a and "B" 42b. The redistribution of sound energy is depicted as an ellipse 74 in Figure 11. This implementation offers no compensation as a function of room depth but compensates only on a single curve before and a matching curve behind the speakers. The extent that a further listener is not on those curves or on the central

15 axis 28, the amplitude will be unequal though it will be an improvement over systems without amplitude compensation. This configuration does not incorporate separate zones related to listener distance. Since vertical distribution angle is not a consideration the emitters may be co located in the listener plane and may be appropriate for many small listening environments such as a home. In the

20 distance relationship given above, if $s = 80$ and $t = 78$ are chosen to be much greater than the inter speaker distance, $r = 70$, it is seen that the errors occurring on such large arcs will suffer only a slight degradation and that for most purposes they can be ignored.

As a further implementation the radiant energy can also be restricted

25 vertically in a more conventional radiation pattern where the majority of the sound energy is directed toward the audience. Figure 13 depicts a representative implementation achieving the desired nonuniform amplitude pattern. Figure 13 b shows this implementation in a frontal view and Figure 13a shows a side view. In this implementation two low frequency emitters 50 are oriented toward the

30 listening area. Three emitters 42 to reproduce the mid and high frequencies are oriented with one intended to be toward the listening area and the other two oriented; one to the left and one to the right. Utilizing an EEA more energy is distributed to one of the angled emitters 42a and less to the other angled emitter 42b. This creates the non uniform energy distribution desired in this

implementation and is shown in Figure 5. The overall system of this implementation is shown in Figure 14. In this implementation the EEA 34 causes one emitter 42a to receive less drive energy and emitter 42b will receive more. Additionally the low frequency emitters 50a and 50b may be adjusted to 5 redistribute the sound energy as a function of angle by incorporating an additional EEA as shown in Figure 14.

As an additional implementation a refractor horn 120 as shown in Figure 15 may be utilized in place of the afore utilized arrays. In this implementation the essentially uniform radiation of an emitter 126 is intercepted 10 by a refractor horn with non uniform dimensions and redirects the acoustic energy to the listening areas with a nonuniform intensity. The EEA in this implementation is a property of the asymmetrical construction of the refractor horn. The nonuniform energy apportionment is determined by appropriate selection of the horn entrance 122 and exit areas 124 . As depicted in Figure 15 the horn exit 15 areas 124 are equal and the entrance angles and areas 122 are varied as a function of angle and illustrates only one of many possible combinations that, to those skilled in the art, would be known to achieve the same result. As depicted entrance D 122d is the smallest and will therefor direct the least acoustic energy to its corresponding exit 124d. Entrance A 122a is the largest entrance and will 20 therefor direct the largest amount of acoustic energy to its corresponding exit 124a. Entrances B122b and C 122c are proportioned to the areas of A and D. Thus, the desired directivity may be achieved by purely mechanoacoustic means, without associated electronic components or signal processing. The horn designer selects the apportionment and the EEA is a property of its design. A typical 25 installation would consist of two or more horn emitters installed as complementary pairs.

An implementation can consist of only one nonuniform emitter as shown in Figure 16. In this configuration one emitter array, selected for purposes of demonstration as emitter array "B", generates a non uniform radiation to 30 compensate for emitter to listener distances. Emitter "A" has a uniform radiation pattern, emanating substantially uniform energy toward the audience area. This implementation while demonstrating that all the compensation can be provided by only one nonuniform emitter array also demonstrates that there exist a continuum of divisions which can correct the spatial anomalies. This implementation does

however suffer from a variation in overall sound intensity when a listener position is changed. When the listener moves from left to right he will experience a decrease in overall sound intensity. As the anomaly correction becomes more evenly distributed between the emitter arrays, the intensity variation tends to 5 diminish.

From the foregoing it will be appreciated that, although specific implementations have been described herein for the purposes of illustration, various modifications may be made without deviating from the spirit and scope of the invention. Accordingly, the invention is not limited except as by the appended 10 claims.